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Introduction

The following catalogue summarizes rural-relevant, value-based programs currently or recently implemented by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), including the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (CMMI).

Purpose
To help rural leaders and communities identify HHS value-based programs appropriate for rural participation.

Inclusion Criteria
HHS value-based programs appropriate for rural practitioners or provider organizations.

Program Descriptions
- Program name (and any aliases)
- Summary
- Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- Timeline and key dates
- Payment model/funding
- Current rural participation/impact
- Website information

Each program description is accurate as of the date noted. Users should access the link(s) in the descriptions for the most current program information.
Accountable Health Communities (AHC) Model

Aliases: AHC Model

Summary
The AHC model addresses a critical gap between clinical care and community services in the current health care delivery system by testing whether systematically identifying and addressing the health-related social needs of beneficiaries has an impact on total health care costs, and improves health and quality of care. The foundation of the AHC Model is universal, comprehensive screening for health-related social needs of community-dwelling Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries accessing health care at participating clinical delivery sites. The model aims to identify and address beneficiaries’ health-related social needs in at least the following core areas: housing instability and quality, food insecurity, utility needs, interpersonal violence, and transportation needs beyond medical transportation. Over a five-year period, CMS will implement and test a three-track model featuring interventions of varying intensity that link beneficiaries with community services:

- **Assistance Track** – Provide community service navigation services to assist high-risk beneficiaries with accessing services.
- **Alignment Track** – Encourage partner alignment to ensure that community services are available and responsive to the needs of the beneficiaries.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- Eligible applicants include: community-based organizations, health care provider practices, hospitals and health systems, institutions of higher education, local government entities, tribal organizations, and for-profit and not-for-profit local and national entities with the capacity to develop and maintain a referral network with clinical delivery sites and community service providers.
- For Track 1, modifications were made to reduce the minimum number of beneficiaries that applicants are required to screen annually from 75,000 to 53,000.

Timeline/key dates
- There are 32 organizations participating in the Accountable Health Communities Model and all are participating in the awareness and alignment track. The list can be found here: Awardees.

Payment model/funding
Funding will go to consortiums led by bridge organizations or to bridge organizations to form consortiums responsible for implementing the model. CMS anticipates supporting up to 44 cooperative agreements.
- Up to $1.17 million to each of 12 Track 1 – Awareness Intervention award recipients
- Up to $2.57 million to each of 12 Track 2 – Assistance Intervention award recipients
- Up to $4.51 million to each of 20 Track 3 – Alignment Intervention award recipients

In August 2017, CMS withdrew Awareness Track funding, due to a lack of qualified applicants. CMS plans no additional funding for this aspect of the model.

Current rural participation/impact
Below is the current list of participants who have organizations from rural counties involved. (Counties that are rural)

Delta Health Alliance, Inc., Stoneville, MS (1 out of 7)
Partners in Health, Inc., Charleston, WV (32 out of 55)
Nevada Primary Care Association, Carson City, NV (1 city out of 4 are rural)
Danbury Hospital, Danbury, CT (1 out of 6)
University of Kentucky Research Foundation, Lexington, KY (All are rural)
St. Josephs Hosp. Health Ctr., Syracuse, NY (2 out of 5)
Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR (5 out of 8)
Tift County Hosp. Authority, Tifton, GA (6 out of 8)
The Health Collaborative, Cincinnati, OH (3 out of 8)
Mountain States Health Alliance, Johnson City, TN (9 out of 11)
Rocky Mountain, HMO Grand Junction, CO (20 out of 21)

Website: [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/AHCM](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/AHCM)
ACO Investment Model (AIM)

**Aliases:** AIM Model

**Summary**
AIM tests use of pre-paid shared savings to encourage new Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) to form in rural and underserved areas. The model also encourages current Medicare Shared Savings Program (MSSP) ACOs to transition to arrangements with greater risk sharing.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**
Limited to two groups:
- New Shared Savings Program ACOs (2015 & 2016) – AIM specifically encourages uptake of coordinated, accountable care in rural and other areas underserved by ACOs.
- Previously participating ACOs under the MSSP starting between 2012-2014 – AIM helps previously engaged ACOs transition to higher levels of financial risk, with the goal of improving care and increasing savings.

Other requirements:
- Previously participating ACOs must have completely reported quality measures to MSSP for previous year.
- Previously participating ACOs must have a beneficiary assignment less than 10,000 for the most recent quarter. ACOs with a 2015 or 2016 start date must have beneficiary assignment of 10,000 or fewer unless they are serving a rural area.
- Does not include hospitals other than Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) or inpatient prospective payment system (IPPS) hospitals with 100 or fewer beds.
- ACO is not owned by a health plan.
- ACO did not participate in the Advance Payment ACO Model.

**Timeline/key dates**
- ACOs had to join by January 1, 2016; no current plans for another application cycle.
- AIM is an evolution of the Advanced Payment Model ACO that closed to new participants in 2013.

**Payment model/funding**
ACOs starting 2015 or 2016:
- Upfront, Fixed Payment – $250,000 payment in the first month of participation
- Upfront, Variable Payment – number of preliminary prospectively-assigned beneficiaries multiplied by $36
- Monthly Variable Payment – monthly payment based on the number of preliminary prospectively-assigned beneficiaries multiplied by $8, for up to 24 months or until ACO ceases participation in the Shared Savings Program or AIM, whichever is sooner
ACOs participating in Medicare Shared Savings Program from 2012-2014:
- Upfront, Variable Payment – payment based on the number of preliminary prospectively-assigned beneficiaries
- Monthly, variable payment – monthly payment based on the number of preliminary prospectively-assigned beneficiaries and the size of the ACO

**Current rural participation/impact**
AIM encourages new ACOs to form in underserved areas, particularly rural areas. During the selection process, ACOs serving rural areas were specifically targeted for participation.
Of the 45 newly accepted ACO participants across 38 states:
- 36 have at least 65 percent of delivery sites in rural areas.
- 27 report having a CAH or IPPS hospital with less than 100 beds.
As of January 2017, AIM has served over 487,000 beneficiaries nationwide.

**Website:** [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/ACO-investment-Model](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/ACO-investment-Model)
Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (CJR) Model

Aliases: Bundled Joints, Joint Bundles

Summary
The CJR model aims to support better and more efficient care for beneficiaries undergoing the most common inpatient surgeries for Medicare beneficiaries: hip and knee replacements (also called lower extremity joint replacements or LEJR). This model tests bundled payment and quality measurement for an episode of care associated with hip and knee replacements to encourage hospitals, physicians, and post-acute care providers to work together to improve the quality and coordination of care from the initial hospitalization through recovery.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- CMS has implemented the CJR model in 67 geographic areas, defined by metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs). MSAs are counties associated with a core urban area and have a population of at least 50,000.
- Participation in the model was not optional in the 67 geographic areas, although this changed recently in the final rule.
- Non-MSA counties (no urban core area or urban core area of less than 50,000 population) were not eligible for selection.

Timeline/Key Dates
- The program had an April 1, 2016 start date.
- The five performance years for the model are 2016 – 2020.
- October 2017, Year 1 pre-payments distributed.
- Effective date of January 1, 2018 for the final rule, and interim final rule.

Payment model/funding
- The CJR attempts to hold hospitals more financially accountable through cost and quality mechanisms by using an episode-based payment approach to incent care coordination throughout the continuum (hospital-based care, physician practices, and post-acute care providers).
- Episode of care starts at admission (DRG 469 or 470) and ends 90-days post-discharge from the hospital to cover the “complete period of recovering for beneficiaries.”
- Participating organizations will receive episode target prices. At the end of a model performance year, actual spending for the episode (total expenditures for related services under Medicare Parts A and B) is compared to the Medicare target episode price for the responsible hospital. Depending on the participant hospital’s quality and episode spending performance, the hospital may receive an additional payment from Medicare or be required to repay Medicare for a portion of the episode spending. Part A and Part B expenditures are price standardized (per the CMS price standardization methodology) and total expenditures are risk adjusted.

Current rural participation/impact
The December 1, 2017 final rule established that participation in the CJR model automatically will terminate for participant hospitals located in the 33 voluntary participation MSAs, low volume hospitals, and rural hospitals as of February 1, 2018, unless these hospitals notify CMS of their election to continue their participation in the CJR model.

Website: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/cjr
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Comprehensive Primary Care Plus (CPC+)

**Aliases:** CPC+

**Summary**
CPC+ is a national advanced primary care medical home model that aims to strengthen primary care through regionally based multi-payer payment reform and delivery transformation. The program includes two practice tracks with incrementally advanced delivery requirements and various payment options. The two tracks will center on five primary care functions:

- Access and Continuity of Care
- Care Management
- Comprehensiveness and Coordination of Care
- Patient and Caregiver Engagement
- Planned Care and Population Health

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**
- 14 regions were selected for participation for Round 1 based on sufficient interest from multiple payers (measured by covered lives and alignment of proposals). Four additional regions (mostly rural in Louisiana, Nebraska, North Dakota, and the Greater Buffalo Region of New York) for Round 2 were just announced to participate from 2018 to 2022. [Click here for the announcement.]
- RHCs and FQHCs are not eligible for participation.
- On May 27, 2016, CMS opened practice eligibility to allow participation in both MSSP and CPC+. Initial requirements had stated those participating in an MSSP were not eligible.
- CMS has indicated that CPC+ meets the criteria for an Advanced Payment Model (APM) under the new Provider Quality Payment Program (QPP).

**Timeline/key dates**
CPC+ is a five-year model that begins performance year in 2017.
- Payer Solicitation deadline passed on June 8, 2016.
- CMS regions were announced and practice applications were opened on August 1, 2016. Round 2 regions were announced May 17, 2017.
- Practice applications within CPC+ regions were open through September 15, 2016. CMS subsequently re-opened practice applications in 2017. 165 new primary care participants were announced January 23, 2018.

**Payment model/funding**
CMS and other payers will provide prospective care management fees (CMFs) to practices in both tracks based on beneficiary risk tiers:
- $15 Per Beneficiary Per Month (PBPM) across four risk tiers in Track 1.
- $28 PBPM Medicare CMFs across five risk tiers in Track 2; $100 CMF for medically complex.
- Comprehensive Primary Care Payments (CPCP):
  - Track 1 receives Medicare FFS; Track 2 receives hybrid FFS/CPCP.
- Performance-Based Incentives:
  - Track 1 receives $2.50 PBPM; Track 2 receives $4 PBPM.

**Current rural participation/impact**
- No specific rural focus, but participation regions include many rural areas including the states of AR, CO, HI, MI, MT, OH, OK, OR, OH, (and northern KY).
- Since the model focuses on primary care payments from Medicare Part B, RHCs and FQHCs are ineligible because they are paid on a fee schedule.
- Round 2 supported practices in regions with large rural areas: LA, NE, ND, and Erie and Niagara Counties of NY.

**Website:** [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/comprehensive-primary-care-plus](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/comprehensive-primary-care-plus)
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Frontier Community Health Integration Project (FCHIP) Demonstration

Aliases: FCHIP Demonstration

Summary
Ten CAHs in three states are participating in the FCHIP Demonstration, which aims to test new models of health care delivery in the most sparsely populated rural counties with the goal of improving health outcomes and reducing Medicare expenditures. The demonstration will test whether enhanced payments for certain services will enhance access to care for patients, increase the integration and coordination of care among providers within the community, and reduce avoidable hospitalizations, admissions, and transfers, therefore improving the quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries and lowering costs. A specific objective is to support the CAH and local delivery system in keeping patients within the community who might otherwise be transferred to distant providers.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- Focused on care delivery in frontier communities. Eligibility was only open for providers located in a state in which at least 65 percent of the counties have six or fewer residents per square mile (CAHs in Montana, Nevada, North Dakota, Wyoming, and Alaska were eligible).
- Ten CAHs in the states of Montana (3), Nevada (4), and North Dakota (3) are participating.

Timeline/key dates
Began on August 1, 2016 and will end July 31, 2019.

Payment model/funding
Provides financial incentives and Medicare payment changes for:
- Ambulance Services – participants are reimbursed for ambulance services they provide, regardless of any other ambulance services that may be available nearby - waiving the thirty-five mile limit currently imposed by Medicare.
- Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF)/Nursing Facility (NF) Beds – participants can maintain up to 35 inpatient beds in contrast to the 25 currently allowed under Medicare. The 10 additional inpatient beds may only be used to provide SNF/NF level of care.
- Telehealth Services – As originating sites for telehealth services, participants will be paid at 101 percent of cost for overhead, salaries, fringe benefits, and the depreciation value of the telehealth equipment instead of the physician fee schedule fixed fee currently allowed under Medicare.

Current rural participation/impact
Ten CAHs in three states (North Dakota, 3; Montana, 3; and Nevada, 4) began participating in this demonstration in August 2016. CMS will assess impact on quality of care, patient satisfaction, and total cost of care. The interim report due to Congress (through HRSA) is within two years of the start of the demonstration and the final report is due within one year of the end.

Website: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Frontier-Community-Health-Integration-Project-Demonstration/
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Health Care Payment and Learning Action Network

**Aliases:** Health Care LAN, the LAN, HCP LAN

**Summary**
The Health Care Payment and Learning Action Network (HCP LAN) was established to provide a forum for public-private partnerships to help the U.S. health care payment system meet or exceed recently established Medicare goals for value-based payments and alternative payment models.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**

- All payers, providers, employers, purchasers, states, consumer groups, individual consumers, and others can participate in the HCP LAN. Participants will be expected actively to engage in the network by contributing to workgroups, sharing best practices, and learning from peers.
- Multi-stakeholder workgroups have developed a variety of work products with the intent of supporting implementation and alignment of value-based reimbursement and APMs. Some examples include APM Framework, and Patient Attribution, Financial Benchmarking, and Performance Measurement models for Population Based Payments.

**Timeline/key dates**

- HCP LAN is currently accepting registration for interested organizations (no charge). A variety of opportunities for participation is available. Program expiration date is January 31, 2019.

**Payment model/funding**

- Not a payment model. CMS has provided funding to the CMS Alliance to Modernize Healthcare (CAMH), operated by The MITRE Corporation to support HCP LAN activities.

**Current rural participation/impact**

- There is no specific rural focus, but rural payers, providers, state agencies etc. are encouraged to participate in the network.

The APM Measurement Report shows progress with 29 percent of total U.S. health care payments tied to alternative payment models (APMs) in 2016 compared to 23 percent in 2015, a six percentage point increase.

The LAN APM Measurement Effort determined the following results for 2016 payments:

- 43 percent of health care dollars in Category 1 (e.g., traditional FFS or other legacy payments not linked to quality)
- 28 percent of health care dollars in Category 2 (e.g., pay-for-performance or care coordination fees)
- 29 percent of health care dollars in a composite of Categories 3 and 4 (e.g., shared savings, shared risk, bundled payment, or population-based payments)
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Home Health Value-Based Purchasing Model

**Aliases:** HHVBP Model

**Summary**
The HHVBP Model requires participating Medicare-certified home health agencies (HHAs) to compete for payment adjustments based on quality performance, in contrast to their current prospective payment system (PPS) reimbursements. The goals of this model are to 1) incentivize HHAs to increase both quality and efficiency of provided care, 2) identify and study the use of new potential quality and efficiency measures in the home health setting, and 3) improve current public reporting processes. HHAs are scored based on a total of six process measures, 15 outcome measures from Outcome and Assessment Information Set (OASIS) and Home Health Care Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HHCAHPS) data, and three new measures, submitted by HHAs. These scores are compared to previous performance on these measures in addition to the performance of other home health agencies on these measures within each HHA’s respective state. Payments will be adjusted by up to an eight percent increase or decrease of current Medicare reimbursable payments based upon the HHA’s performance in the identified measures.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**
The model includes all Medicare-certified HHAs within the states of Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina, Florida, Washington, Arizona, Iowa, Nebraska, and Tennessee.

**Timeline/key dates**
The HHVBP Model was implemented on January 1, 2016 and will be terminated December 31, 2022.
The CY 2018 HHPPS became was announced July 28, 2017.
- Final rule in effect January 1, 2018.

**Payment model/funding**
This model will adjust (either increase or decrease) payments based on the following timetable:
- A maximum payment adjustment of 3 percent in 2018.
- A maximum payment adjustment of 5 percent in 2019.
- A maximum payment adjustment of 6 percent in 2020.
- A maximum payment adjustment of 7 percent in 2021.
- A maximum payment adjustment of 8 percent in 2022.

**Current rural participation/impact**
All HHAs in the following states are participating: Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina, Florida, Washington, Arizona, Iowa, Nebraska, and Tennessee.

**Website:** [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/home-health-value-based-purchasing-model](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/home-health-value-based-purchasing-model)

---
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Hospital Acquired Conditions Reduction Program (HACRP)

**Aliases:** HACRP, HAC penalty program, HAC Reduction Program

**Summary**
Established by the ACA, the HAC Reduction Program encourages hospitals to improve patient safety and reduce the number of hospital-acquired conditions, such as hospital-acquired infections, pressure ulcers, and hip fractures or hemorrhages after surgery.

Hospitals are scored based on two domains:
- Patient safety events using the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) patient safety indicator (PSI) 90 composite measure
- Healthcare-acquired infections
  - The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infection (CLABSI) measure
  - The CDC NHSN Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI) measure
  - The CDC NHSN Surgical Site Infection (Colon Surgery and Abdominal Hysterectomy) (SSI)
  - The CDC NHSN Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA)
  - The CDC NHSN Clostridium Difficile (C. diff)

Hospitals that rank in the bottom 25 percent have payment reduced by one percent for the associated fiscal year.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**
- All IPPS hospitals are eligible.
- CAHs and acute care hospitals in Maryland are exempt.

**Timeline/key dates**
- Program was effective beginning Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 (discharges beginning on October 1, 2014).
- Program criteria and scoring are updated annually through the IPPS rule making process.

**Payment model/funding**
- Hospitals that rank in the worst performing quartile with respect to risk-adjusted HAC quality measures have their payments reduced to 99 percent of what would otherwise have been paid.
- In FY 2017, 769 hospitals received payment penalties under the HACRP versus 758 in FY 2016 and 724 in FY 2015.

**Current rural participation/impact**
- CAHs are exempt, but rural IPPS hospitals are included. In FY 2015, 14 percent of rural participants were penalized under the HACRP compared to 26 percent of urban participants.

**Website:** [https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/HAC-Reduction-Program.html](https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/HAC-Reduction-Program.html)
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Hospital Innovation Improvement Network (HIIN)

**Aliases:** HIIN, formerly known as the Hospital Engagement Networks (HENs), Part of the Partnership for Patients (PfP)

**Summary**
HIINs work at the regional, state, national or hospital system level to sustain and accelerate national progress and momentum towards continued harm reduction in the Medicare program, and help identify solutions already working and disseminate them to other hospitals and providers. HIINs will:

- Develop learning collaboratives for hospitals.
- Provide a wide array of initiatives and activities to improve patient safety.
- Conduct intensive training programs to help hospitals make patient care safer.
- Provide technical assistance to help hospitals achieve quality measurement goals.
- Establish and implement a system to track and monitor hospital progress in meeting quality improvement goals.
- Identify high performing hospitals and their leaders to coach and serve as national faculty to other hospitals committed to achieving the Partnership goals.

HIIN goals to be achieved by the end of 2019 are:

- 20 percent reduction in overall patient harm (as measured by Hospital-Acquired Conditions [HACs]/1,000 discharges).
- 12 percent reduction in 30-day readmissions as a population-based measure (as measured by readmissions per 1,000 people).

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**

- On September 28, 2016, CMS awarded contracts to 16 HIINs. It is anticipated that more than 4,000 hospitals will be involved across the 16 HIINs.

**Timeline/key dates**

- The period of performance for the HIINs begins in September 2016 and consists of one 24-month base period and one 12-month option year.

**Payment model/funding**

- HIIN is not a payment model. Funds provided to the HIINs to support patient safety improvement activities. IPPS hospitals are subject to payment penalties for Hospital Acquired Conditions and Hospital Readmissions under the HACRP and HRRP programs. Participation in HIIN activities is one way to support improvement in those areas.

**Current rural participation/impact**

- No specific rural focus. In the past, a significant number of rural hospitals and CAHs participated in HEN activities in the past, and continued rural participation is anticipated with the HIINs.
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Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP)

**Aliases:** HRRP, Readmission penalty program

**Summary**
Established by the ACA, the HRRP requires CMS to reduce payments to IPPS hospitals with excess readmissions effective for discharges beginning on October 1, 2012.

Excess readmissions are measured by a ratio, by dividing a hospital’s number of “predicted” 30-day readmissions for certain conditions by the number that would be “expected,” based on an average hospital with similar patients. The FY 2017 HRRP calculates excess readmission ratios for six areas: Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI), Heart Failure, Pneumonia, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), Coronary Artery Bypass Graff (CABG), and Elective primary total hip and/or total knee arthroplasty (THA/TKA).

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**
- All IPPS hospitals are eligible.
- CAHs and acute care hospitals in Maryland are exempt.
- Hospitals must have a minimum of 25 cases per applicable condition to have an excess readmission ratio calculated.

**Timeline/key dates**
- Three years of discharge data are used to calculate readmission penalties for each fiscal year. For example, payment penalties for FY 2016 are based on July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2014 discharges.
- Program criteria and methodology are updated annually through the IPPS rulemaking process.

**Payment model/funding**
- If a hospital performs better than an average hospital that admitted similar patients, the Excess Readmissions Ratio will be less than 1.0000. If a hospital performs worse than average, the ratio will be greater than 1.0000.
- The excess readmission ratios for each condition are multiplied times the sum of base operating Diagnosis Related Group (DRG) payments for that condition; then added together. This aggregate payment for excess readmissions is divided by the aggregate payments for all discharges, and then subtracted from 1 to get the Readmissions Adjustment Factor.
- If the Readmissions Adjustment Factor is 1.000, there is no payment reduction. Any number between .9999 and .9700 would trigger a payment reduction.
- The maximum penalties increased through the first three years of the program, and are now held at a maximum of 3 percent.
- 2,597 hospitals were penalized in FY 2016 (based on discharges from July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2014).

**Current rural participation/impact:**
- No specific rural focus, though eligible rural PPS hospitals can participate if they meet specified quality reporting case volume thresholds. In FY 2015, participating rural hospitals were slightly more likely to face penalties in the HRRP program (79 percent) than their urban counterparts (76 percent), and the penalties were somewhat larger at rural (0.55 percent) than urban hospitals (0.46 percent).

**Website:** [https://www.cms.gov/medicare/medicare-fee-for-service-payment/acuteinpatientpps/readmissions-reduction-program.html](https://www.cms.gov/medicare/medicare-fee-for-service-payment/acuteinpatientpps/readmissions-reduction-program.html)
Hospital Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) Program

**Aliases:** Hospital VBP, Inpatient VBP

**Summary:** The Hospital VBP Program is part of CMS’ long-standing effort to link Medicare’s prospective payment system for hospitals to a value-based system to improve healthcare quality, including the quality of care provided in the inpatient hospital setting. The program attaches value-based purchasing to the payment system that accounts for the largest share of Medicare spending, affecting payment for inpatient stays in over 3,500 hospitals across the country. Congress authorized Inpatient Hospital VBP as part of the ACA. The program uses the hospital quality data reporting infrastructure developed for the Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting (IQR) Program, which was authorized by Section 501(b) of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements:**
- All IPPS hospitals are eligible.
- CAHs and acute care hospitals in Maryland are exempt.

**Timeline/key dates:**
- There is a two-year lag between the reporting year and the payment year (i.e., quality scores from 2016 will affect payment in 2018).
- Program criteria and scoring are updated annually through the IPPS rule making process.

**Payment model/funding:**
- The Hospital VBP Program is funded by a reduction from participating hospitals’ base operating DRG payments (2%). Resulting funds are redistributed to hospitals based on their Total Performance Scores (TPS). The actual amount earned by each hospital depends on the range and distribution of all eligible/participating hospitals’ TPS scores for a FY. It is possible for a hospital to earn back a value-based incentive payment percentage that is less than, equal to, or more than the applicable reduction for that program year. The adjustment factor is applied to the base DRG rate, and affects payment for each discharge in the relevant fiscal year (October 1 – September 30).
- Total Performance Scores are calculated using baseline to performance period comparisons in four domains: Patient and Caregiver Centered Experience of Care/Care Coordination, Clinical Care, Safety, and Efficiency and Cost Reduction. The metrics included and weighting of the domains is adjusted annually through the IPPS rule making process.

**Current rural participation/impact**
- CAHs are exempt, but rural IPPS hospitals are included. In FY 2015, 11 percent of rural IPPS hospitals were excluded from VBP due to inadequate volumes. Participating rural hospitals had a higher average total performance score relative to urban hospitals which translated to a higher than average payment adjustment (+0.22 percent of base DRG payments for rural hospitals compared to +0.07 percent for urban hospitals).
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Independence at Home Demonstration

Aliases: None

Summary
Under the Independence at Home Demonstration, the CMS Innovation Center works with medical practices to test the effectiveness of delivering comprehensive primary care services at home and if doing so improves care for Medicare beneficiaries with multiple chronic conditions. Additionally, the Demonstration will reward health care providers that provide high quality care while reducing costs.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- The 14 participating Primary Care practices provided documentation in their application regarding experience in providing home-based primary care to beneficiaries who are high-cost and have multiple chronic conditions; in addition, they must serve at least 200 eligible beneficiaries.
- Beneficiaries are eligible to participate if they have two or more chronic conditions, enrolled in Medicare FFS, need help with two or more functional activities, had a non-elective inpatient admission within the past year, and have received acute or subacute rehabilitation within the past year.

Timeline/key dates
The Demonstration began on June 1, 2012, and will end on September 30, 2017. Practices can no longer join.

Payment model/funding
- The participating practices will be eligible for financial incentives if they succeed in offering high quality care that reduces costs for the Medicare program. To qualify for an incentive payment, the practice’s expenditures for participating beneficiaries must be lower than the calculated target expenditure, which represents the expected Medicare FFS expenditures of participating beneficiaries in the absence of the Demonstration. Practices are required to meet stringent quality standards and ensure that financial targets are met.
- Nine participating practices received incentive payments in Year 1. Seven practices received incentive payments in Year 2.
- In Year 2, CMS modified the shared savings methodology to improve the comparability between the demonstration and matched comparison group beneficiaries.

Current rural participation/impact
- All 14 of the participating primary care practices are in urban areas. However, seven are Health Professional Shortage Areas and/or Medically Underserved Areas.
- In Performance Year 2, Independence at Home practices saved $7,821,374 in aggregate, an average of $746 per beneficiary. Seven participating practices earned incentive payments in the amount of $5,093,105.
- [Year 2 Results Fact Sheet](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/independence-at-home/)

Website: [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/independence-at-home/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/independence-at-home/)
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Medicare ACO Track 1 Plus Model

**Initiative Name:** Medicare Accountable Care Organization (ACO) Track 1+ Model

**Aliases:** Track 1+ Model, Track 1+

**Brief summary:** CMS established the Medicare Accountable Care Organization (ACO) Track 1+ Model to test a new approach to ACO payment design. Track 1+ will incorporate less downside risk than Tracks 2 and 3 of the Medicare Shared Savings Program. The goal of the model is to promote greater participation in performance-based risk payment design, particularly among small and rural hospitals.

Beginning 2018, participation in this model will allow clinical providers to receive payment incentives for being part of an Advanced Alternative Payment Model (APM) in the Quality Payment Program. Based on the Medicare Shared Savings Program Track 1, the new model incorporates elements of Track 3, including prospective beneficiary assignment and skilled nursing facility (SNF) 3-Day Rule waivers. These aspects of the model should allow greater flexibility for participating ACOs to improve coordination and delivery of care.

**Eligibility and Rural-relevant Requirements:** Participating Track 1+ ACOs will enter into either a revenue-based or a benchmark-based loss sharing agreement based on the following criteria:

- The ACO includes an ACO participant hospital, cancer center, or rural hospital with more than 100 beds, or is owned or operated by such a hospital or by an organization that owns or operates such a hospital.
- The ACO must concurrently participate in Track 1 of the Shared Savings Program; Tracks 2 and 3 participants are not eligible.
- The ACO must have an adequate repayment system for shared loss payments to CMS established.
  - If none of the above criteria is met, the loss sharing limit for the participating ACO would be 8 percent of the ACO participant Medicare FFS revenue.
  - If at least one of the criteria is met, the loss-sharing limit for the ACO would translate into a potentially higher level or risk than the revenue-based loss sharing limit – 4 percent of the updated historic benchmark.

**Timeline/key dates:**

- Notice of Intent to Apply due May 31, 2017, for Model 2018 application cycle.
- Track 1+ Model Performance Year 2018 application due July 31, 2017.
- Model participants announced late Fall 2017.

**Funding:**

- The model has a maximum 50 percent shared savings rate, as in Track 1.
- The model limits the loss-sharing rate to a fixed rate of 30 percent, and allows for varying downside risk levels for small and rural providers.

**Current rural participation/impact:** Track 1+ is designed to specifically allow and promote small and rural provider participation in the Medicare Shared Savings Program. Rural providers who are participants in eligible ACOs can participate.

**Website/contact information:**
Medicare Care Choices Model

**Aliases:** MCCM

**Brief Summary:** The Medicare Care Choices Model (MCCM) provides Medicare beneficiaries who qualify for coverage under the Medicare hospice benefit the option to receive hospice-like support care services while continuing to receive curative services. Beneficiaries who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid are also included. The goal of the MCCM is to determine whether access to this type of service will result in improved quality of care, and patient and family satisfaction, and whether there are any effects on use of curative services and the Medicare or Medicaid Hospice Benefit.

**Eligibility and Rural-relevant Requirements**
Participation in the model is limited to participating hospices and their Medicare beneficiaries with advanced cancers, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, and human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS). Eligible beneficiaries must not have elected the Medicare or Medicaid hospice benefit within the last 30 days prior to their participation in the MCCM.

**Timeline/key dates**
Delivery of services under the model will be phased-in over two years. Phase one hospices will implement the model from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2020. Phase two hospices will begin two years later, from January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2020. Applications were due June 19, 2014 for both Phase 1 and Phase 2 hospice.

**Funding**
Participating hospices will receive payment under the MCCM through the standard Medicare claims process. Hospices will be paid a per-beneficiary-per-month (PBPM) fee that is dependent on the number of calendar days that services are provided under the model. Hospices will be paid $400 PBPM if services are provided under the model for 15 or more calendar days per month, and $200 PBPM if services are provided under the model for fewer than 15 calendar days per month.

**Current rural participation/impact**
There are about 140 Medicare-certified hospices from both urban and rural geographic areas participating in the model. The MCCM is still in its initial phase and no reports have been published regarding the impact on hospices located in rural areas.

**Website/contact Info**
- [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Medicare-Care-Choices/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Medicare-Care-Choices/)
- Questions: [CareChoices@cms.hhs.gov](mailto:CareChoices@cms.hhs.gov)
Medicare Shared Savings Program (MSSP)

Aliases: MSSP, Shared Savings Program, ACOs (note: several ACO models are part of MSSP), MSSP ACO

Summary
The MSSP was established by the ACA and is a key component of Medicare delivery system reform initiatives. MSSP facilitates coordination and cooperation among providers to improve the quality of care for Medicare FFS beneficiaries and reduce unnecessary costs. Eligible providers, hospitals, and suppliers may participate in MSSP by creating or participating in an ACO. The Shared Savings Program rewards ACOs that lower health care cost growth while meeting performance standards on quality of care. Participation in an ACO is voluntary.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- Eligible providers and suppliers must form a Medicare ACO, and the ACO must apply to CMS.
- To be accepted, ACOs must have at least 5,000 attributed Medicare FFS patients, meet all other eligibility and program requirements, and agree to participate in the program for at least 3 years.
- Statute and individual program regulations specify the eligibility and program requirements.

Timeline/key dates
- For standard MSSP ACO participation there is an annual application cycle. Application deadlines for 2017 participation have closed. Deadlines for 2018 participation have not yet been announced.
- Deadlines for the AIM (for certain eligible MSSP participants) are outlined in that program’s summary.
- Updates to program requirements and methodology are made through the Federal rule making process.

Payment model/funding
- CMS and ACO’s establish budget targets for the total health spending of attributed ACO FFS Medicare beneficiaries. CMS continues to make payments on a fee-for-service basis. At the end of the year, the actual and target spending are reconciled. If actual spending is less than the target and is above the minimum savings rate, and if the ACO has performed adequately on access and quality metrics, the ACO and CMS share the difference.
- Currently, an ACO enters a three-year agreement period under three tracks:
  - **Track One**: one-sided shared savings model, 50 percent of savings, no shared loss
  - **Track Two**: two-sided shared savings/shared losses model, 60 percent split of savings, limit on the amount of losses to be shared in phases over 3 years starting at 5 percent in year 1; 7.5 percent in year 2; and 10 percent in year 3 and any subsequent year
  - **Track Three**: two-sided shared savings/shared loss model, 75 percent split of savings, loss sharing limit is 15 percent (Track Three is a new model defined in the 2015 Shared Savings Final Rule. In return for greater risk, it allows for prospective beneficiary assignment, waiver of the Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) 3-day rule, and potential flexibility around telehealth requirements for billing and reimbursement.)

Current rural participation/impact
- RHCs, FQHCs, and CAHs are eligible to participate in ACOs if they meet specific requirements. CMS has developed a specific Rural ACO fact sheet.
- The following findings are based on activity through 2015:
  - Medicare ACOs operate in 41.8 percent of all nonmetropolitan counties.
  - Non-metropolitan provider participation in ACOs has increased considerably since 2013, especially in the South, West, and Northeast census regions.
  - 101 new ACO entrants in 2016 included at least 43 ACOs with providers in non-metropolitan areas.

Website: [https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-payment/sharedsavingsprogram/index.html?redirect=/sharedsavingsprogram/](https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-payment/sharedsavingsprogram/index.html?redirect=/sharedsavingsprogram/)
The Million Hearts® Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) Risk Reduction Model

**Aliases:** Million Hearts®

**Summary**
The Million Hearts® Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) Risk Reduction Model is a randomized controlled trial that seeks to bridge a gap in cardiovascular care by providing targeted incentives for health care practitioners to engage in beneficiary CVD risk calculation and population-level risk management. Model uses data-driven, widely accepted predictive modeling approaches to generate individualized risk scores, and mitigation plans for eligible Medicare FFS beneficiaries.

This model will use a randomized controlled design to identify successful prevention and population health interventions for CVD implemented within the following framework for the intervention group:

- Universal risk stratification of all Medicare eligible beneficiaries who meet the cardiovascular disease risk factor inclusion criteria.
- Evidenced-based risk modification using shared decision making between beneficiaries and care teams.
- Prevention and population health management strategies based on beneficiary risk stratification.
- Reporting of continuous risk calculator variables and CVD 10-year risk score through a Data Registry (QCDR) that will be provided as part of the model test.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**

- The types of providers participating in the model include, but are not limited to: general/family medicine, internal medicine, geriatric medicine, multi-specialty, nephrology or cardiovascular care.
- The types of practices participating in the model include, but are not limited to, private practices, community health centers and other community-based clinics, academic/university health centers, hospital-owned physician practices, and hospital/physician organizations.
- Participating practices are randomly assigned to be part of a control group or intervention group.

**Timeline/key dates**

- There is a 5-year period, beginning in September 2016 and end by August 2021.
- Participants were announced in July 2016, and the model is currently closed to additional applications.

**Payment Model/funding**

- Control Group: One-time payment of $20/beneficiary to offset costs of data collection and submission
- Intervention group – two payments:
  - Cardiovascular Disease Risk Stratification payment: participants receive a one-time $10 per-beneficiary payment for each eligible beneficiary that is assessed for CVD risk.
  - Cardiovascular Care Management (CVD CM) payment: ongoing monthly CVD CM payments will be available for beneficiaries that were categorized as high-risk in the initial risk assessment and for whom data elements have been reported. In the first year of the model, participants will receive a monthly $10 CVD CM payment for each high-risk FFS. For years 2–5 of the model, participants may receive up to a $10/month CVD CM payment for those beneficiaries identified as high risk, contingent on the participant’s performance in CVD risk reduction of the high-risk beneficiaries reflected in the longitudinal treatment benefit tool.

**Current rural participation/impact**

No specific rural focus. However, with over 500 participating organizations in all but one state (SD), rural providers are participating in the model.

Next Generation ACO (NGACO) Model

**Aliases:** All Inclusive Population-Based Payment (AIPBP), Next Gen ACO

**Summary**
NGACO aims to encourage experienced ACOs to assume higher levels of financial risk and rewards than are currently available under other MSSP and the Pioneer Model. Goal is to test whether strong incentives coupled with patient engagement and case management support tools improve outcomes and increase savings.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**
- Participation is open to previous participants of MSSP and Pioneer, along with other qualifying organizations.
- ACOs may not simultaneously participate in NGACO and the MSSP or Pioneer ACO models.

**Timeline/key dates**
- Letter of Intent submission deadline for 2017 cycle passed on May 25, 2016. This was the final application round for this model.
- CMS announced a new opportunity to apply for the Next Generation ACO Model. A Request for Applications (RFA) soliciting 2018 Next Generation ACO Model applications was posted and applications were due by May 2017.
- 2016 Performance Year 1 quality and financial results posted October 2017.
- 2018 Model participants announced January 18.

**Payment model/funding**
- In performance year 2 (2017), participating ACOs will have a capitation style mechanism called, All Inclusive Population-Based Payments (AIPBP), which will be one of four payment mechanisms participants can choose:
  - FFS,
  - FFS plus a Per-Beneficiary Per-Month (PBPM) infrastructure payment,
  - Population-Based Payment (same as Pioneer Model), or
  - Capitation (PBPM).
- AIPBP will function by estimating total annual care expenditures, and paying the ACO per-beneficiary/per-month payment.
- If the projected trend is substantially different from the experienced trend, CMS will adjust the payment to shield participants against external price shifts.

**Current rural participation/impact**
- Telehealth and other benefit enhancement waivers allow beneficiaries to seek out better, more-cost effective care, necessary services.
- Regional efficiency trend adjustments ensure participating providers receive adequate compensation for services provided in regions that are experiencing major payment changes beyond their control.
- 58 ACOs are participating.
- No specific rural focus. However, ACOs with a rural presence are represented among participants.

**Website:** [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Next-Generation-ACO-Model/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Next-Generation-ACO-Model/)
Part D Enhanced Medication Therapy Management Model

**Aliases:** Enhanced MTM Model

**Brief summary:** The Part D Enhanced Medication Therapy Management (Enhanced MTM) model tests whether providing Part D sponsors with additional payment incentives and allowing for regulatory flexibilities will improve therapeutic outcomes and reduce net Medicare expenditures. Payment incentives include a prospective payment for more extensive MTM interventions outside of the plan’s annual Part D bid and an increased direct premium subsidy for plans that successfully reduce fee-for-service expenditures and fulfill quality reporting requirements. Additional regulatory flexibilities are intended to allow for more individualized and risk-stratified interventions.

**Eligibility and Rural-relevant Requirements**
To participate in the Enhanced MTM model, a plan must be an individual market standalone basic plan, have a minimum enrollment of 2,000, have existed as a basic plan for at least three years prior to the first year of the model test, and not be under sanction by CMS or other law enforcement entities.

**Timeline/key dates**
The Enhanced MTM has a five-year performance period that began January 1, 2017 and will continue through December 31, 2021. Participants for the model were chosen in August 2016 and the model is not currently accepting new applicants.

**Funding**
CMS will offer participating plans a per-member-per-month prospective payment to provide funding for enhanced items and services, improved system linkages, and other pharmacy, prescriber or beneficiary incentives.

**Current rural participation/impact**
There are six Part D sponsors participating the MTM program: Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Florida, Jacksonville, FL; Blue Cross and Blue Shield Northern Plains Alliance, Eagan, MN; CVS Health, Woonsocket, RI; Humana, Louisville, KY; UnitedHealthcare, Minneapolis, MN; and WellCare Prescription Insurance, Tampa Bay, FL. Part D sponsors are responsible for designing the eligibility requirements for beneficiaries to participate in the MTM program, as well as specific intervention activities. The program started January 1, 2017, so there currently is no report regarding the impacts. The evaluation, when available, will compare three years of pre-model data to three to five years of model performance data.

**Website/contact Info**
- [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/enhancedmtm/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/enhancedmtm/)
- Questions: EnhancedMTM@cms.hhs.gov
Partnership for Patients (PfP)

Aliases: PfP, the Community-Based Care Transitions Program (CCTP) and Hospital Innovation Improvement Network (HIIN) are both part of the PfP. HIINs were formerly known as Hospital Engagement Networks (HENs).

Summary
The PfP initiative is a public-private partnership working to improve the quality, safety and affordability of health care for all Americans. The PfP and its participating hospitals are focused on making hospital care safer, more reliable, and less costly through the achievement of two goals:

- Making Care Safer. Keep patients from getting injured or sicker. Decrease preventable hospital-acquired conditions by 40 percent compared to 2010.
- Improving Care Transitions. Help patients heal without complication. Decrease preventable complications during a transition from one care setting to another so that hospital readmissions would be reduced by 20 percent compared to 2010.

Patient and Family Engagement is a key component of the PfP programs and robust efforts to engage patients and families in their care are woven throughout all aspects of the program to achieve system-wide adoption of patient and family engagement best practices.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- National campaign activity with encouragement to engage all types of hospitals

Timeline/key dates
- The Partnership for Patients was initially launched in 2011.
- See the CCTP and HIIN summaries for program details.

Payment model/funding
- PfP is not a payment model. The partnership focuses on best practice identification, dissemination, and implementation. Payment model/funding is provided to organizations to provide technical assistance and support for implementation.

Current rural participation/impact
- There is no specific rural focus, though some participating HIIN hospitals are in rural areas.

Website: https://partnershipforpatients.cms.gov/
Pennsylvania Rural Health Model

Aliases: PA Rural Health Model

Summary
Established as a joint effort between the Pennsylvania Department of Health and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), the Pennsylvania Rural Health Model aims to improve health outcomes, while reducing the growth of hospital expenditures and promoting sustainability of rural Pennsylvania hospitals. Payment under the model is based on all-payer global budgets, where maximum payment rates are pre-established for hospital payments and paid monthly by fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare and other payers. Pennsylvania’s rural hospitals, who must volunteer to participate, are expected to redesign their care delivery to increase quality of care and meet the needs of their local communities.

The model is testing whether predictable global budgeting, for both inpatient and outpatient hospital based services, allows rural providers to further invest in improved quality and preventive care for their populations.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- Both critical access hospitals and acute care hospitals in rural Pennsylvania are eligible.
  - For this model, Pennsylvania and CMS are defining ‘rural’ as a county with less than 284 people per square mile, which is the definition used by the Pennsylvania General Assembly.
  - Participation will be phased in over the seven performance years with at least 30 hospitals participating in the final years.
- Participating hospitals must develop and submit a Rural Hospital Transformation Plan to the Pennsylvania Department of Health and CMS.

Timeline/key dates
- The Model will run for seven performance years (PYs), between January 2017 and December 2023.
- During PY0 (2017) CMS will provide funding to the state, the state will establish participation agreements, and rural hospitals will develop their Rural Hospital Transformation Plans.
- Prospectively set, all-payer global budgeting payments will occur in PY1-PY6 (2018-2023).

Payment model/funding
- CMS has committed to providing $25 million to Pennsylvania over four years to implement the model.
- The State will calculate the global budgets and submit them to CMS for review and approval.
- Pennsylvania aims to have 75 percent of participating hospital revenues coming from global budgeting by PY1 (2018).
- Pennsylvania will encourage commercial payers to participate in the Model, and will work to achieve Medicaid participation, which is necessary for the Model to be implemented.
- Pennsylvania agrees to an all-payer financial target of no more than 3.38 percent in annual hospital spending growth on inpatient and outpatient hospital-based services per resident of Pennsylvania’s rural areas served by participating rural hospitals. 3.38 percent represents the compound annual growth rate for Pennsylvania’s gross state product from 1997 to 2015.
- Pennsylvania commits to achieving $35 million in Medicare hospital savings from the rural participants over the course of the model.

Current rural participation/impact
- The Rural Health Model seeks to increase the financial viability of rural Pennsylvania hospitals to ensure continued access to care. The model is developed for rural hospital participation specifically.

Website: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/pa-rural-health-model/
Quality Payment Program (QPP)

**Aliases:** QPP, MACRA/MIPS

**Summary**
The Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA) ended the Sustainable Growth Rate (SGR) formula for Medicare Part B clinician payment and created the QPP, which links clinician payment to quality. The QPP replaces the Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS), the Medicare EHR Incentive Program (Meaningful Use), and the Value Based Modifier (VBM). The QPP has two tracks:

- **Advanced Alternative Payment Models (APMs):** Clinicians that opt to participate in a qualified Advanced APM, through Medicare Part B will earn an incentive payment.
- **Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS):** Clinicians that participate in traditional Medicare Part B will participate in MIPS and earn a performance-based payment adjustment.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**
- For MIPS, eligible clinicians are those who bill Medicare Part B more than $30,000/year or care for more than 100 Medicare Part B-enrolled patients/year.
  - Eligible clinicians include physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, clinical nurse specialists, and certified registered nurse anesthetists.
- For APMs, clinicians must receive 25 percent of their Medicare payments or see 20 percent of their Medicare patients through an Advanced APM (in 2017). Programs qualifying as Advanced APMs in 2017 can be found [here](#).

**Timeline/Key Dates**
- There will be a lag between performance and payment adjustment. For example, performance in 2017 will impact payment in 2019.
- CMS announced on September 8, 2016 that it will allow clinicians to pick their pace of participation for the first performance period (2017), participating for either a full or partial calendar year or “testing” the QPP.
- The QPP program will be updated annually through the CMS rulemaking process.

**Payment model/funding**
- **MIPS:** Positive or negative payment adjustment will be made based on evidence-based and practice-specific quality data in four areas: Quality, Improvement Activities, Advancing Care Information, and Cost. The cost category will be calculated in 2017, but will not be used to determine payment adjustment for the first year. In 2018, CMS will start using the cost category as part of the formula for payment adjustment. For the first year, providers will earn positive or neutral MIPS payment adjustment if they submit 2017 data by March 31, 2018. Future years will bring increasing positive or negative performance adjustments plateauing at +/- 9 percent in 2022. In addition, during the first six payment years of the program (2019-2024), MACRA allows for up to $500 million each year in additional positive adjustments for exceptional performance.
- **APM:** Clinicians participating as an Advanced APM in 2017 will earn a 5 percent incentive payment in 2019 and are exempt from MIPS payment adjustments.

**Current rural participation/impact**
Since the QPP only affects Medicare Part B clinician payments, RHCs and FQHCs are ineligible because they are paid on a fee schedule. The final rule allows RHCs and FQHCs voluntarily to report data through MIPS. Under MACRA, CMS has designated $20 million dollars for technical assistance over five years ($100 million total) to support small practices in rural and underserved areas. MIPS adjustments apply to the provider portion of payment for eligible clinicians practicing in Method I CAHs and in Method II CAHs if they have not assigned their billing rights to the CAH.

**Website:** [https://qpp.cms.gov/](https://qpp.cms.gov/)
Quality Payment Program (QPP) - Small Practice, Underserved, and Rural Support

**Initiative Name:** Medicare Quality Payment Program (QPP) - Small Practice, Underserved, and Rural Support Initiative

**Aliases:** QPP-SURS

**Brief summary:** Per CMS: The Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA) established the Medicare Quality Payment Program (QPP), which includes funding to provide of technical assistance for eligible practices and providers. To enable small practices to maximize participation in the QPP, CMS established Small Practice, Underserved, and Rural Support (QPP-SURS), one of several programs available to provide free technical assistance to eligible clinicians across the country. This assistance will provide clinicians the necessary guidance for successful participation in the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) and the Advanced Alternative Payment Models (APMs).

As part of the initiative, QPP-SURS includes assistance in:
- Selecting quality measures and activities for each MIPS performance category
- MIPS reporting
- Strategic planning
- Adjusting to new payment methodologies
- Health IT optimization, including Certified Electronic Health Record Technology (CEHRT)
- Evaluating benefits and costs of joining APMs

**Eligibility and Rural-relevant Requirements:** Technical assistance is available to MIPS-eligible practices, defined as practices with 15 or fewer clinicians. Priority eligibility is available for clinicians operating in:
- Rural areas
- Health Professional Shortage Areas (HPSAs)
- Medically Underserved Areas (MUAs)

**Timeline/key dates:** Small, rural and underserved practices can contact their QPP-SURS TA provider for help at any time. Clinicians and practices can find the specific TA provider for their region using the map provided by CMS on the QPP-SURS website.

**Funding:** CMS has contracted with regional organizations to provide technical assistance at no cost to eligible clinicians.

**Current rural participation/impact:** This initiative directly targets small size practices for greater participation in QPP, especially those in rural settings. Technical assistance is available to eligible clinicians in all US states and territories.

**Website/contact information:**
Information: [https://qpp.cms.gov/about/small-underserved-rural-practices](https://qpp.cms.gov/about/small-underserved-rural-practices)
Call: 1-866-288-8292
Email: QPPSURS@IMPAQINT.com
Section 223 Demonstration Program for Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinics (CCBHC)

**Aliases:** Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinics, CCBHCs, Section 223

**Summary**
Authorized under Section 223 of the Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014 (PAMA 223), this program is a combined effort by HHS agencies including Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), CMS, and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Planning and Evaluation. It supports state-level efforts to increase access and improve the quality of community-based mental health and substance abuse disorder treatment delivery. In 2015, 24 states received $22.9 million in planning grants to plan for the demonstration project. The grants helped states prepare to participate in the two-year demonstration program. The funding supported states’ efforts to:

- Certify CBHCs based on federally developed criteria – emphasizing accessible and high-quality care.
- Establish a Medicaid PPS payment system for CCBHCs
- Improve data collection and reporting systems
- Engage stakeholders in how the state will implement the program

Eight states were selected for the two-year program based on application and geographic distribution, including rural and underserved areas. In participating states, CCBHCs will be reimbursed through Medicaid for behavioral health treatment, services, and supports to Medicaid-eligible beneficiaries using an approved prospective payment system.

**Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements**

- Only clinics certified during the planning grant phase and submitted in the demonstration program application are eligible to participate as official CCBHCs. Participating states may continue to certify clinics, though they will not be part of the program evaluation.
- CCBHCs must be non-profit organizations, state operated clinics, Indian Health Service, or tribal organizations.
- CCBHCs have care coordination requirements which include partnerships or formal contracts between the CCBHC and a variety of organizations including FQHCs, and as applicable, RHCs, to the extent such services are not provided directly through the certified community behavioral health clinic.

**Timeline/key dates**

- Selected states announced on December 31, 2016: Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, and Pennsylvania.
- Two-year demonstration programs will begin by July 1, 2017.

**Payment model/funding**

- The program requires states develop a Medicaid prospective payment system for CCBHC services.
- The match rate for CCBHCs is either the Enhanced FMAP/CHIP rate or the current FMAP for eligible beneficiaries under Medicaid expansion, and down to 90 percent by 2020.

**Current rural participation/impact**

1. Rural providers may become a CCBHC if they meet Statute eligibility requirements and listed eligibility.
2. A requirement of the 24 planning grants was to certify at least two CBHCs in diverse areas, including rural and underserved communities.
3. Telehealth/telemedicine and online services are eligible for inclusion.

**Website:** [http://www.samhsa.gov/section-223](http://www.samhsa.gov/section-223)
Skilled Nursing Facility Value-Based Purchasing Program

Aliases: SNFVBP Program

Summary
The SNFVBP Program aims to reward quality and improve quality of healthcare in Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNFs). It will establish incentive payments based on performance scores on particular quality measures. The first of these measures is the Skilled Nursing Facility 30-Day All Cause Readmission Measure (SN FRM), which assesses the risk-standardized rate of all-cause, all-condition unplanned inpatient hospital readmissions of Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries within 30 days of discharge from a prior hospitalization. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has also approved the Skilled Nursing Facility 30-Day Potentially Preventable Readmission (SNF PPR) Measure for future implementation in the SNF Value Based Payment (VBP) Program. This measure, which will replace the SNF RM as soon as reasonably viable, will evaluate the risk-standardized rate of unplanned, Potentially Preventable Readmissions (PPRs) for Medicare fee-for-service SNF patients within 30 days of discharge from their prior hospitalization. Though the measures are similar, an important distinction is that the SNFPPR focuses on potentially preventable readmissions instead of all-cause readmissions. APPR occurs when the resident is admitted to a hospital during the SNF stay (referred to as “within-stay”) or in the post-SNF discharge period. For patients who are readmitted to a hospital within-stay, contributing causes include 1) inadequate management of chronic conditions; 2) inadequate management of infections; 3) inadequate management of other unplanned events; and 4) inadequate injury prevention. A PPR in the post-SNF discharge period consists of 1) inadequate management of chronic conditions; (2) inadequate management of infections; and (3) inadequate management of other unplanned events.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
All SNFs are eligible (specific requirements have not yet been identified).

Timeline/key dates
This project begins October 1, 2018 (FY 2019). A termination date has not yet been determined.
- The Final Rule became effective October 1, 2017.
- Performance data from the baseline year of SNF VBP was released October 2017.

Payment model/funding
The SNF RM will eventually become a component of the SNF Performance Score for the SNF VBP Program. Scores will be determined by facilities’ performance on the measure. Value-based incentive payments will then be allocated by comparing all SNFs’ performance scores. Although several payment models have been proposed, a specific payment model has not yet been formally adopted by CMS. However, CMS will notify SNFs of those payment adjustments via a SNF performance score no later than 60 days prior to October 1, 2018.

Current rural participation/impact
None (program starts in 2018)

Website: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/Value-Based-Programs/Other-VBPs/SNF-VBP.html
State Innovation Model (SIM) Initiative

**Aliases:** SIM, some state-specific models and components have different names (e.g., Care Coordination Organizations in Oregon).

**Summary**
The State Innovation Models (SIM) Initiative was launched in 2013 to test the ability of state governments to use their policy and regulatory levers to accelerate health care transformation efforts in their states, with a primary goal to transform over 80 percent of payments to providers into innovative payments and service delivery models. Awards have been given in the form of Model Design, Model Pre-Test, and Model Test awards. SIM has supported over 38 states, territories, and the District of Columbia in two rounds of awards:

- In Round One, nearly $300 million was awarded to 25 states to design or test innovative health care payment and service delivery models in the form of Model Design, Model Pre-Test, and Model Test awards.
- In Round Two, over $660 million was awarded to 32 awardees.
- Over half of states representing 61 percent of the U.S. population (38 total SIM awardees, including 34 states, three territories and the District of Columbia) are working on efforts to support comprehensive state-based innovation in health system transformation.

**Eligibility and Rural-Relevant Requirements**
State Governors’ Offices, the United States Territories Governors’ Offices, and the Mayor’s Office from the District of Columbia are the only eligible entities to apply. However, a State Governor’s office may propose that an outside organization focused on quality and state delivery system transportation receive and administer the funds. Only one such request is allowed per state.

**Timeline/Key Dates**

**Round One Awards began on April 1, 2013.**
- Six states (AR, ME, MA, MN, OR, VT) were awarded 42-month model testing awards. The full test period for the Round 1 Test states was October 2013 through September 2016. However, for Massachusetts the start of the test period lagged that of the other five Test states by 3 months; and Massachusetts, Minnesota, and Vermont have all received no-cost extensions to their SIM awards.
- Three states (CO, NY, WA) received pre-testing assistance and funding to continue to work on a comprehensive State Health Care Innovation Plan, and sixteen states received model design awards. Both groups had six months to develop and submit a State Health Care Innovation Plan to CMS. Five states were awarded extensions through March 2014. A summary of the state plans can be found in the Round One Model Design Evaluation Report.

**Round Two Awards began on February 1, 2015.**
- Eleven states (CO, CT, DE, ID, IA, MI, NY, RI, OH, TN, WA) were awarded 48-month model testing awards.
- Twenty-one awardees (including 17 states, three territories and the District of Columbia) were awarded model design awards and have 12 months to develop and submit a State Health Care Innovation Plan to CMS. Updated guidance on Medicare Alignment in Multi-Payer Models was issued in October 2017.

**Payment model/funding**
- Payment/funding ($300 million in Round One and $660 million in Round Two) has been provided to the state, territories or District of Columbia to support planning activities in model design awards, and to support planning, implementation and evaluation activities in the model test awards.

**Current rural participation/impact**
- States receiving model testing awards have generally had significant rural participation.

Transforming Clinical Practice Initiative (TCPI) – Practice Transformation Network

Aliases: PTN

Summary
The PTN is one of two initiatives under the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) TCPI. PTNs are organizations that provide coaching, mentoring, and technical assistance to a network of clinicians in transforming their practices away from volume-based incentives and towards more efficient and patient-centered care. PTNs use a peer-based approach to support clinicians involved in practice transformation. Examples of such peer-to-peer support include one-on-one coaching on managing patients with chronic diseases and technical assistance on using information technology applications to improve patient access to clinicians. The PTN initiative seeks to engage clinicians actively in the process of transformation and support collaboration and learning across a network of providers. There are currently 29 Practice Transformation Networks.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- Eligible organizations must have existing relationships with multiple clinician practices, including data sharing; recognition as a single legal entity; a unique Tax Identification Number (TIN) dedicated to payment receipts; and a governing body that is able to enter into a cooperative agreement on behalf of the organization.
- Organizations who applied included health systems, large group practices, quality improvement organizations, regional and state-based health collaboratives, and regional extension centers.
- The organization’s clinicians who are eligible for services through the PTN are: physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, clinical nurse specialists, certified registered nurse anesthetists.
- PTNs have a requirement that 20 percent of the practices they recruit and serve will be rural, small, and/or medically underserved practices.

Timeline/key dates
- Program participants were announced on September 29, 2015.

Payment model/funding
Each PTN was awarded between $2 million to $50 million for a four-year performance period (September 2015 through September 2019).

Current rural participation/impact
At least 10 of the 29 PTNs specifically target rural providers in their recruitment efforts and/or currently have rural providers in their networks.

Website: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Transforming-Clinical-Practices/
Transforming Clinical Practice Initiative (TCPI) – Support and Alignment Network

Aliases: SAN

Summary
The SAN is one of two initiatives under the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) TCPI. The SAN initiative seeks to leverage existing practice transformation efforts of various health care professional associations. The first round of SAN funding was awarded to ten organizations using both existing and emerging avenues, such as continuing medical education, to sustain practice transformation efforts. These SANs also were tasked with incorporating new education into their activities, such as aligning clinical practice guidelines across multiple specialties. The SANs were encouraged to recruit practices from rural and other underserved areas into their networks. Two existing SANs received awards under a second round of funding (SAN 2.0). These organizations were tasked with identifying and recruiting practices in an advanced state of readiness for practice transformation and providing them with the assistance necessary for rapid transformation. SAN 2.0 funding also was aimed at facilitating large-scale adoption of Alternative Payment Models by practices and preparing clinicians for CMS’s Quality Payment Program. This initiative is ongoing.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- In addition to professional associations, eligible organizations included those involved in the creation of clinical guidelines or the promotion of measurement through electronic health records and registries.
- An eligible organization must be recognized as single legal entity, have a unique Tax Identification Number dedicated to payment receipts, and have a governing body that is able to enter into a cooperative agreement with CMS on behalf of the organization.
- In fulfilling expectations to assist providers practicing in underserved areas or working with underserved populations, several SANs have reached out to rural providers.

Timeline/key dates
- The application period for the first and second round of funding closed on February 5, 2015 and July 11, 2016, respectively.
- Program participants for the first and second round were announced on September 29, 2015 and September 29, 2016, respectively.
- Performance period closes September 2019.

Payment model/funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Award floor</th>
<th>Award ceiling</th>
<th>Period of performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First round</td>
<td>$1 million</td>
<td>$3 million</td>
<td>Four years (05/2015 – 04/2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second round</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$2.5 million</td>
<td>Three years (09/2016 – 09/2019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Support and Alignment Networks must achieve reasonable progress to the aims of the initiative as supported by their own proposed specific targets and milestones. Continued funding is contingent on adequate progress, compliance with the terms and conditions of the previous budget period, and the availability of funds.

Current rural participation/impact
At least three of the 12 SANs specifically target rural providers in their recruitment efforts and/or currently have rural providers in their networks.

Website: [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Transforming-Clinical-Practices/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Transforming-Clinical-Practices/)
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Vermont All-Payer ACO Model

Summary
Established as a joint effort between CMS and the state of Vermont, the all-payer ACO model is a test of the State’s alternative payment model where the most dominant payers in the State (Medicare, Medicaid, and commercial health plans) incentivize quality and value in healthcare. This program test will specifically focus on outcomes, operating under the same payment structure for the majority of providers throughout the State, in an effort to transform the State’s delivery system.

The State of Vermont and CMS envision the ACO model as a means to improve care delivery and promote the model as a rational business strategy. Additionally, CMS provided a five-year extension for the State’s 1115(a) Medicaid demonstration waiver, which allowed Medicaid to operate as a full-partner in the ACO Model approach. By establishing State-level standards for ACO-level health outcomes, the Model aims to incentivize coordination to achieve the following targets:

- **ACO Scale Targets** – where the State encourages payers and providers to participate in ACO programs, with the goal of 70 percent participation rate for residents, and 90 percent of Medicare beneficiaries by 2022. Moreover, the Model will operate under the broader Administrator’s goal of 50 percent of all Medicare FFS payments in alternative payment models by 2018.
- **All-Payer and Medicare Financial Targets** – the State will limit annualized per capita healthcare expenditure growth to 3.5 percent, and Medicare per capita healthcare growth rate to at least 0.1 percentage point below the national average Medicare growth rate.
- **Health Outcomes and Quality of Care Targets** – the State will seek improvements in four prioritized areas: substance use disorder, suicides, chronic conditions, and access to care.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
Participation is voluntary for both providers and other payers, including rural providers. Five of Vermont’s 14 hospitals are currently participating and all are critical access hospitals. Vermont has eight critical access hospitals in total. In addition there are two ACOs participating, Community Health Accountable Care and OneCare Vermont. More information can be found here: State of Vermont ACO information

Timeline/key dates
- The Vermont All-Payer ACO Model began on January 1, 2017, and will conclude on December 31, 2022.
- There will be six performance years (PY0-PY5), each spanning a full calendar year.

Payment model/funding
- In 2017 CMS provided $9.5 million in initial investment to facilitate care coordination among providers in the State, and improve collaboration with stakeholders.
- CMS expects at least a portion of funds to be used by Vermont to achieve its existing Blueprint for Health and Supports and Services at Home programs.

Current rural participation/impact:
Given there are five critical access hospitals involved, there will be rural participation. Additionally several FQHC and Community Health Centers are involved which will provide for Medicaid enrollee participation. The goal is that at least 36 percent of Vermont All-Payer beneficiaries are aligned with an ACO by the end of 2018.

Website: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/vermont-all-payer-aco-model/
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### Appendix A – Commonly Used Acronym List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>Affordable Care Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO</td>
<td>Accountable Care Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APM</td>
<td>Alternative Payment Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAH</td>
<td>Critical Access Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMMI</td>
<td>Center for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Center for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRG</td>
<td>Diagnosis Related Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHR</td>
<td>Electronic Health Record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFS</td>
<td>Fee-for-Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FQHC</td>
<td>Federally Qualified Health Clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIT</td>
<td>Health Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPS</td>
<td>Inpatient Prospective Payment System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSSP</td>
<td>Medicare Shared Savings Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>Primary Care Provider/Physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMPM</td>
<td>Per Member per Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBPM</td>
<td>Per Beneficiary Per Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHC</td>
<td>Rural Health Clinic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B – Inactive Program Archive

Community Based Care Transitions Program (CCTP)

Aliases: Section 3026, Care Transitions Program, CCTP is a component of the Partnership for Patients

Stage: No longer active

Summary
CCTP, created by Section 3026 of the Affordable Care Act (ACA), tests models for improving care transitions from the hospital to other settings and reducing readmissions for high-risk Medicare beneficiaries. The goals of the CCTP are to improve transitions of beneficiaries from the inpatient hospital setting to other care settings, to improve quality of care, to reduce readmissions for high-risk beneficiaries, and to document measurable savings to the Medicare program.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
Community Based Organizations (CBOs) and IPPS hospitals partnering with CBOs:

- Must provide care transition services across the continuum of care and have a formal organizational and governance structure:
  - Care transition services that begin no later than 24 hours prior to discharge.
  - Timely and culturally and linguistically competent post-discharge education to patients so they understand potential additional health problems or a deteriorating condition.
  - Timely interactions between patients and post-acute and outpatient providers.
  - Patient-centered self-management support and information specific to the beneficiary’s condition,
  - A comprehensive medication review and management, including, if appropriate, counseling and self-management support.
  - Formal relationships with hospitals, other providers, and consumer representatives.
- Preference is given to Administration on Aging (AoA) grantees who partner with multiple hospitals and practitioners to provide care transition interventions, or entities that provide services to medically underserved populations, small communities, and rural areas.

Timeline/key dates
- CCTP is no longer active. There are no plans for future sites to be added to the program.
- Five rounds of participants were announced between 2011 and 2015.
- Final evaluation reports released November 2017.

Payment model/funding
$300 million between 2011-2015:
- CCTP does not pay for administrative overhead and infrastructure costs.
- CBOs are paid an all-inclusive rate per eligible discharge, determined based on the cost of care transition services provided at the patient level and systemic changes at the hospital level. However, the CBO will only be paid once per eligible discharge in a 180-day period for any given beneficiary. Payments from CCTP are only for Medicare Fee-for-Service (FFS) beneficiaries.

Current rural participation/impact
CBOs are only paid care transition fees for beneficiaries intervened upon immediately following discharge from a partnering IPPS hospital (not a CAH).

Website: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/CCTP/
Comprehensive Primary Care (CPC) Initiative

**Aliases:** Comprehensive Primary Care (CPC)

**Stage:** No longer active

**Summary**
The CPC initiative was a four-year multi-payer initiative designed to strengthen primary care. CMS collaborated with commercial and State health insurance plans in seven regions to offer population-based care management fees and shared savings opportunities to participating primary care practices to support the provision of a core set of five “comprehensive” primary care functions. The initiative tested whether provision of those functions at each practice site – supported by multi-payer payment reform, the continuous use of data to guide improvement, and meaningful use of health information technology – could achieve improved care, better health for populations, and lower costs, and can inform future Medicare and Medicaid policy.

**Eligibility and Rural-Relevant Requirements**
- Seven CPC regions were chosen with the highest market penetration by payers who would align their payment models to support the five functions of CPC.
- Practices within the seven regions were selected in 2012 via an application process based on their utilization of health information technology (HIT), ability to demonstrate advanced primary care delivery by appropriate accreditation bodies, service to patients covered by participating payers, participation in practice transformation and improvement activities, and diversity of geography, practice size and ownership structure.
- CPC practice eligibility excluded Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs), Rural Health Clinics (RHCs), and practices that participate in an MSSP ACO or other CMS programs that include shared savings.

**Timeline/Key Dates**
- Program began in 2013 and ends in 2016 (program years 1 – 4). Practices are no longer eligible to join; all selected practices were chosen in 2012.
- The next evolution of this program is Comprehensive Primary Care Plus (CPC+).

**Payment model/funding**
CPC integrated a defined payment model and practice redesign focus:
- Payment: Practices receive two payments in support of their Medicare/Medicaid FFS patients
  - Practices are paid a monthly, non-visit based care management fee (averages $20 per beneficiary in PY 1 – 2, then decreases to $15 for PY 3 – 4).
  - Annually after PY 1, CPC practices can share in net savings, calculated at the regional level and distributed to participating practices based on their performance on quality metrics.
- Practice Redesign:
  - CPC aims to help practices support their patients with the following: Access and Continuity, Planned Care for Chronic Conditions and Preventative Care, Risk-Stratified Care Management, Patients and Caregiver Engagement, and Care Coordination across the Medical Neighborhood.
  - Participating CPC practices must report progress through a CMS web portal.

**Current rural participation/impact**
- The percent rural population for CPC regions range from 5-44 percent; some of the areas had significant rural populations despite being metropolitan areas (for example, Greater Tulsa had 36 percent rural beneficiaries).
- Since the model focuses on primary care payments from Medicare Part B, RHCs and FQHCs are ineligible because they are paid on a fee schedule.

**Website:** [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/comprehensive-primary-care-initiative/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/comprehensive-primary-care-initiative/)
Medicare-Medicaid Accountable Care Organization (ACO) Model

Aliases: MMACO Model

Stage: Withdrawn

Summary
A substantial portion of high-need, high-risk Medicare beneficiaries are dual enrollees in Medicare and Medicaid. Dual enrollees may be assigned to Medicare ACO initiatives, which do not provide financial accountability for the Medicaid expenditures of dual Medicare-Medicaid enrollees. The MMACO Model seeks to improve quality of care and reduce costs for these dual enrollees by building on the Medicare Shared Savings Program and partnering with states interested in assuming responsibility for the full range of Medicare Part A, Part B, and Medicaid costs, as well as quality, for their assigned Medicare-Medicaid enrollees. In the event that MMACOs reduce costs for their Medicare-Medicaid enrollees, both the state as well as the MMACO may qualify to share in those savings with CMS.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- CMS will select up to six states to participate in this model.
- All states and the District of Columbia are eligible to participate so long as they have a sufficient amount of Medicare-Medicaid beneficiaries enrolled in fee-for-service Medicaid. Preference given to states with low Medicare ACO saturation. Several states with significant rural areas meet that criteria. Rural beneficiaries were more likely to be dual eligible than urban (17.9 percent vs. 15.8).

Timeline/key dates
- States must submit a Letter of Intent on or before the following dates for consideration to begin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State's Preferred 1st Year Performance Start Date*</th>
<th>Deadline to Submit Letter of Intent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Date has passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Date has passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>August 3, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Payment model/funding
- As part of the state-specific development process, states and potential ACO partners will develop risk arrangements for Medicaid shared savings/shared losses calculations that will be offered to Medicare-Medicaid ACOs in the state.
- Medicare shared savings/shared loss calculations will be based on the financial track selected in accordance with Shared Savings Program rules (i.e., Track one, Track two)
- CMS will be responsible for paying Medicare shared savings (or collecting payment for shared loss). States will be responsible for paying Medicaid shared savings (or collecting payment for shared loss). If a Medicare-Medicaid ACO earns savings under the Medicare or Medicaid financial methodology and losses under the other, CMS or the state may adjust or withhold payment of shared savings until owed shared losses have been paid by the Medicare-Medicaid ACO.

Current rural participation/impact
- Participating states have not yet been announced.
- MMACOs that qualify as safety net ACOs will be eligible for prepayment of Medicare shared savings to support care coordination infrastructure.

Update: On October 5, 2017, CMS withdrew the request for LOI from states interested in participating, as none were received for start of 2018. CMS is not moving forward with this model.

Website: removed
Maryland All-Payer Model

Stage: Withdrawn

Summary
Established as a joint effort between CMS and the state of Maryland, the all-payer model is a modernization effort of the State’s all-payer rate-setting system for hospital services. The model will test the effectiveness of an all-payer system for hospital payments that holds hospitals accountable for the total per-capita cost of care. The goal of the initiative is reduced costs and improved health outcomes.

Operating under the auspices of an existing 1814(b) Medicaid waiver, originally granted in 1978, Maryland is exempt from the Inpatient Prospective Payment System and the Outpatient Prospective Payment System, allowing the State to establish global payment rates. Under the All-Payer Model, Maryland adopts an approach based on per capita total hospital cost growth. Over five years, Maryland will shift all hospital revenue into global payment models. Improvements in quality of care for Maryland residents are evaluated through both hospital quality and population health measures, including:
- Readmissions – the State is committed to reducing all-cause, all-site hospital readmissions
- Hospital Acquired Conditions – Maryland commits to reaching an annual aggregate reduction of 6.89 percent in 3M’s 65 potentially preventable conditions over a five-year period, for a total cumulative reduction of 30 percent.
- Population Health – Maryland will submit annual performance measure improvement reports.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
All Maryland hospitals are brought into the all-payer model, including rural hospitals. 10 rural hospitals. The state does not have any identified critical access hospitals or Rural Health Clinics.

Timeline/key dates
- January 1, 2014, Maryland launches the all-payer modernization effort.
- The model is currently operating; the first annual report was released in January 2017.

Payment model/funding
- Maryland is required to generate $330 million in Medicare savings over a five-year performance period, as measured by comparing the State’s Medicare per capita total hospital cost growth to the national average.
  - The first annual report found total savings of $116 million to Medicare, and per capita cost growth was reduced at a rate exceeding the national average.
- The model requires the State to limit its annual all-payer per capita total hospital cost growth to 3.58 percent, the ten-year compound annual growth rate in per capita gross state product.
  - First annual report found the all-payer per capita growth rate was held to 1.47 percent.

Current rural participation/impact
All hospitals in the state operate under global budgeting ahead of schedule, and all but one rural hospital in TRP remained within 0.5 percent budget corridor (the amount they can deviate from the rate orders without obtaining approval). Preliminary findings demonstrate meaningful reductions in utilization, expenditures, or both in all categories of hospital service.

Update: On October 5, 2017, CMS withdrew the request for LOI from states interested in participating, as none were received for start of 2018. CMS is not moving forward with this model.
Medicaid Incentives for the Prevention of Chronic Disease Program

**Aliases:** MIPCD program

**Stage:** Ended

**Summary:** The Affordable Care Act established the Medicaid Incentives for Prevention of Chronic Disease Model (MIPCD) program. It tested the effectiveness of providing incentives to encourage healthy behaviors directly to Medicaid beneficiaries of all ages who participated in MIPCD prevention programs. State initiatives used relevant evidence-based research and resources and made the program widely available and easily accessible. State initiatives addressed either tobacco cessation, controlling weight, lowering cholesterol, lowering blood pressure, preventing or controlling diabetes, or a combination of these goals.

**Eligibility and Rural-relevant Requirements**
Any single State Medicaid Agency was eligible as long as the state committed to operating the program for at least three years, conducted a state-level evaluation, and fulfilled reporting requirements specified by the legislation and CMS.

**Timeline/key dates**
MIPCD applications were due on May 2, 2011. Participating states received their grants on September 11, 2011, and the program ended December 31, 2016. The Final Evaluation Report was published on August 9, 2017 [here](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/mipcd/).

**Funding**
Each participating state was awarded a 5-year grant to implement, conduct, and evaluate its MIPCD program. The original funding amount was $100 million over 5 years. Participating Medicaid enrollees earned incentive payments through December 31, 2015. 100 percent reimbursement was provided through grant funding for incentives and services that would only be available through the MIPCD Program.

**Current rural participation/impact**
Ten states (California, Connecticut, Hawaii, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New York, Texas, and Wisconsin) were recipients of the grant awards. All ten states successfully implemented incentive programs. During the course of the MIPCD program, participants used more preventive services but there was not a significant change in total, inpatient, or ED Medicaid expenditures associated with receiving financial incentives. Montana, Nevada, and California specifically targeted participants in rural or remote locations. Montana’s diabetes program used telehealth to reach participants living in rural areas. Nevada also utilized telehealth to reach participants in rural locations. California partnered with its Indian and Rural Health Office to provide program services to Native American clinic patients. The health outcomes were somewhat favorable. Compared to the control group, incentivized participants had greater reductions in weight, and HbA1c and blood pressure levels; more minutes of physical activity; improvements in self-reported health status; and greater likelihood of reporting a smoking cessation quit attempt or having ceased smoking.

**Website/contact Info**
- [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/mipcd/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/mipcd/)
- Questions: MIPCDGrant@cms.hhs.gov
Multi-Payer Advanced Primary Care Practice

Aliases: State-based infrastructure may use different names, (e.g., in MN called the Health Care Home Model)

Stage: No longer active

Summary
The demonstration will evaluate whether advanced primary care practice will reduce unjustified utilization and expenditures, improve the safety, effectiveness, timeliness, and efficiency of health care. The following states are participating: ME, MI, MN, NY, NC, PA, RI, VT. Each state coordinates with Medicaid and private payers for involvement.

The purpose of this project is to:
1. Decrease variation in utilization and expenditures, particularly that variation that is not justified,
2. Condense variation in utilization and expenditures for Medicare beneficiaries,
3. Enhance the safety, effectiveness, timeliness, and efficiency of care,
4. Increase patient autonomy in decision making, and
5. Increase the availability and delivery of evidence-based care in historically underserved areas.

All major payers in the state or region (Medicare, Medicaid, large private insurers/managed care organizations) participate. This helps ensure the availability of resources for the implementation of the advanced primary care model.

Eligibility and rural-relevant requirements
- Program pays a monthly care management fee for beneficiaries who received care from Advanced Primary Care practice (APC), which is intended to cover care coordination, enhanced access, education, and other services.
- Practices must meet medical home guidelines to participate; states will identify and enroll practices.

Timeline/Key Dates
- Vermont, New York, and Rhode Island began June 1, 2011.
- North Carolina and Michigan began October 1, 2011.

Initial demonstration was slated to end in 2014. CMS offered an extension through 2016 to states where some of the payment was distributed to community-based organizations that could not bill independently under the Chronic Care Management (CCM) codes that took effect in January, 2015. Five states continued to participate under that extension (ME, MI, NY, RI, VT) through 2016.

Payment model/funding
- Under the demonstration, states will pay participating practices additional amounts for transforming their practices into medical homes and for providing services that are not otherwise covered under the traditional Medicare.

Current rural participation/impact
- All states had rural practice participation, ranging from 3 percent in MI to 68 percent in NC.

Website: https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Multi-payer-Advanced-Primary-Care-Practice/
Pioneer ACO Model

**Aliases:** Pioneer Accountable Care Organization

**Stage:** No longer active

**Summary**
The Model is designed for health care organizations and providers experienced in coordinating care for patients across care settings. These providers can move more rapidly from a shared savings payment model to a population-based payment model on a track consistent with, but separate from, the MSSP. It works in coordination with private payers by aligning provider incentives to improve quality and health outcomes for patients, and achieve cost savings.

**Eligibility and Rural-Relevant Requirements**
- Organizations are required to be structured as: ACO professionals in group practice arrangements, networks of individual practices of ACO professionals, partnerships or joint venture arrangements between hospitals and ACO professionals, hospitals employing ACO professionals, or FQHCs.
- Health IT requirement: at least 50 percent of the PCPs in the Pioneer ACO must have met the requirements for Meaningful Use for the receipt of payments from the EHR Incentive Programs.
- CMS prospectively assigns beneficiaries to Pioneer ACOs, which allows providers to know in advance the beneficiaries for whom they will be held accountable.
  - CMS determines which PCP the patient has been using for most of their PC needs and uses that to determine which beneficiaries are assigned to provider(s) annually.
  - If the patient uses less than 10 percent of their care with that PCP, CMS will re-align that patient’s assignment to whichever provider delivers most of their care.
  - ACOs must have a minimum of 15,000 assigned Medicare FFS beneficiaries, unless they are in a rural area, then the minimum requirement is 5,000.
  - For a beneficiary to be assigned, they must be originally enrolled in FFS Part A and B; those originally enrolled in Part C are not eligible.

**Timeline/Key Dates**
- Fixed period for demonstration enrollment (which is now closed). Next evolution of this demonstration is the Next Generation ACO Model. October 2017 Performance year 5 quality and financial results posted.

**Payment model/funding**
- Performance years 1 and 2 tested shared savings and losses using a payment arrangement with higher risk and reward, when compared to the MSSP. The shared savings in the Pioneer ACO model were determined by comparing the ACOs benchmark and trend in expenditures nationally.
- In performance year 3, those Pioneer ACOs who were successful with shared savings could move to a new population-based payment model. This payment was a per member per month (PMPM) prospective. Payment ideally used to replace the FFS ACO payments. There was also an option for partial-population based payment that limits the risk and reward during year three to lower the increased risk.

**Current rural participation/impact**
- There are nine ACOs currently participating in the Pioneer ACO Model. None is predominately rural although some participating systems include a small number of rural providers.

**Website:** [https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Pioneer-aco-model/](https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Pioneer-aco-model/)