# Determining presence of GB virus type C in HIV positive subjects

#### Carmen J. Smith Advisor: Kathryn Chaloner

IASTEM Summer Symposium 2008

July 30, 2008

### Outline

- Background
- Objectives
- Data Preparation and Analysis
- Results
- Conclusions
- Future work
- Acknowledgments

### Background

Studies show HIV positive individuals infected with GB virus type C (GBV-C) live longer than HIV positive individuals without GBV-C.
 No gold standard for detecting the presence of GBV-C in blood samples.

### Background

Four ELISA tests (Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay) on 100 stored blood samples

- Roche commercial
- GNA
- M5
- M6

### Objectives

 Determine relationships between tests using bivariate and multivariate analysis
 Determine if tests can be used to classify samples as GBV-C positive or negative

### **Data Preparation and Analysis**

- Data scaled so minimum for each test is 1 and logarithm taken
- Graphical Exploration
  - Smoothed Histograms Univariate
  - Scatterplots Bivariate

### Results Scatterplot and smoothed histograms



### Data Preparation and Analysis

- Maximum Likelihood Estimation for fitting normal distributions
  - BIC (Bayesian information criterion) accounts for number of observations
  - AIC (Akaike information criterion)
  - ROC (Receiver Operator curve)

## Results Maximum Likelihood Estimation

8-Jul-08

Likelihood Ratio Test for Testing the Number of Normal Distributions in Mixture Model

log(likelihood)						
	log(GNA)	log(Roche)	log(M5)	log(M6)		
1 Normal	-19.9551	-38.8232	-17.6111	-13.5934		
2 Normals	-13.8402	26.9897	7.1160	-11.9375		
3 Normals	-12.7828	37.9297	8.1886	-11.3905		

BIC = -2\*log-likelihood + npar\*log(nobs)

AIC = -2\*log-likelihood + 2\*npar

One Normal Distribution						
	log(GNA)*	log(Roche)*	log(M5)*	log(M6)*		
BIC	49.1205	86.8568	44.4326	36.3972		
AIC	43.9101	81.6464	39.2222	31.1868		
Two Normal Distributions Mixture						
BIC	50.7063	-30.9535	8.7938	46.9009		
AIC	37.6805	-43.9793	-4.2321	33.8750		
Three Normal Distributions Mixture						
BIC	62.4070	-39.0180	20.4641	59.6224		
AIC	41.5657	-59.8593	-0.3773	38.7810		

### Results M5



### Results GNA



### Results Roche and M6



### Results ROC

ROC curve for each of 4 tests t by .01 0. 8<sup>.</sup>0 0.6 ROC(t) = TPF(c) 4 0.2 ---- Roche ---- GNA M5 0.0 M6 . . . . . . . 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 t = FPF(c)

### Conclusions

- Results for each test contain noise
- Analysis indicates that Roche and M5 are most promising
- More analysis is needed before tests can be used

### Future Work

Examine bivariate relationshipsExamine multivariate relationships

### Acknowledgements

Dr. Kathryn Chaloner
Jingyang Zhang
Dr. Gideon Zamba
Biostatistics Department
AVA Staff and Students

### THANK YOU!!